

*Food-chain transfer of zinc from contaminated Urtica dioica and Acer pseudoplatanus L. to Microlophium carnosum and Drepanosiphum platanoidis Schrank*

Article

Accepted Version

Sinnet, D., Hutchings, T.R. and Hodson, M. E. (2010) Food-chain transfer of zinc from contaminated *Urtica dioica* and *Acer pseudoplatanus* L. to *Microlophium carnosum* and *Drepanosiphum platanoidis* Schrank. *Environmental Pollution*, 158 (1). pp. 267-271. ISSN 0269-7491 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.07.008> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/1683/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.07.008>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

[www.reading.ac.uk/centaur](http://www.reading.ac.uk/centaur)

## **CentAUR**

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

# 1 Food-chain transfer of zinc to aphids

2 Danielle Sinnett, Environmental and Human Sciences Division, Forest Research, Alice Holt  
 3 Lodge, Farnham, Surrey, GU10 4LH, UK, Tel: +44 1420 22255, Fax: +44 1420 520180,  
 4 [danielle.sinnett@forestry.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:danielle.sinnett@forestry.gsi.gov.uk)

5 Number of words:

6 Number of references: 32

7 Number of tables: 0

8 Figure legends:

9 **Figure 1: Zn concentration in a) the leaf tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus* (n=25) and above-**  
 10 **ground tissue of *U. dioica* (n=15) exposed for 98 and 54 days respectively and b) *D.***  
 11 ***platinoidis* (n=23) and *M. carnosum* (n=15) exposed for 14 and 28 days respectively**  
 12 **compared to the Zn concentration in Hoagland's solution and c) is the Zn**  
 13 **concentration in *D. platinoidis* (n=23) and *M. carnosum* (n=15) compared with the Zn**  
 14 **concentration in leaf tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus* and above-ground tissue of *U. dioica*.**  
 15 **(Where [ZnNettle], [ZnLeaf], [ZnAphid] is the concentration of Zn in the tissue of *U.***  
 16 ***dioica* (mg/kg), the leaf tissue of *A.pseudoplatanus* (mg/kg), *M. carnosum* (mg/kg) and**  
 17 **the watering solution (mg Zn/l) respectively).**

18 **Figure 2: Zn concentration in the phloem tissue of *U. dioica* (n=15) and *A.***  
 19 ***pseudoplatanus* (n=15) exposed for 54 and 98 days respectively to Zn in Hoagland's**  
 20 **solution.**

21 **Food-chain transfer of zinc from contaminated *Urtica dioica* and *Acer***  
22 ***pseudoplatanus* L. to *Microlophium carnosum* and *Drepanosiphum***  
23 ***platanoidis* Schrank**

24

25 Danielle Sinnett†‡\*, Tony R. Hutchings† and Mark E. Hodson‡

26 †Environmental and Human Sciences Division, Forest Research, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham,  
27 Surrey, GU10 4LH, UK.

28 ‡Department of Soil Science, School of Human and Environmental Sciences, University of  
29 Reading, Whiteknights, Reading, RG6 6DW, UK.

---

<sup>1</sup> [danielle.sinnett@forestry.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:danielle.sinnett@forestry.gsi.gov.uk), Alice Holt Research Station

**Abstract** – This study examines the food-chain transfer of Zn from two plant species, *Urtica dioica* (stinging nettle) and *Acer pseudoplatanus* (sycamore maple), into their corresponding aphid species, *Microlophium carnosum* and *Drepanosiphum platanoidis*. The plants were grown in a hydroponic system using solutions with increasing concentrations of Zn from 0.017 to 42 mg Zn/l, although *U. dioica* only survived in solution containing up to 18 mg Zn/l. Above-ground tissue total and phloem concentrations in *U. dioica* and *M. carnosum* concentrations increased with increasing Zn exposure ( $p < 0.001$ ). When *U. dioica* were exposed to the 18 mg Zn/l solution the corresponding above-ground plant tissue, phloem and *M. carnosum* concentrations were around 2100, 50 and 131 mg/kg respectively. Although Zn concentrations in *M. carnosum* were lower than total plant concentrations bioaccumulation was taking place as concentrations were greater than those in the phloem which represents the Zn reservoir to which the aphids were exposed. Zn concentrations in *A. pseudoplatanus* also increased with solution concentration from the control to the 9 mg Zn/l solution, after which concentrations remained constant at around 160 mg/kg. Zn concentrations in both *D. platanoidis* and the phloem tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus* were not affected by the Zn concentration in the watering solution with concentrations of 6.2 and 375 mg/kg respectively for exposure to solutions of 18 mg Zn/l and above. It appears that *A. pseudoplatanus* is able to regulate Zn, whereas *U. dioica* is not resulting in increasing Zn exposure to the aphids on the latter species. Despite this Zn concentrations in *M. carnosum* were around a third of those in *D. platanoidis*, suggesting that the latter species may have naturally elevated Zn concentrations.

**Keywords** – stinging nettle, sycamore maple, common nettle aphid, sycamore aphid, contaminated land

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of the impact of contaminated land on terrestrial ecological receptors is increasingly being recognised in the site investigation, risk assessment and remediation process. Many practitioners commonly use an Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) to determine the potential for harm that a site may pose to ecological receptors and many countries have produced frameworks and guidance for conducting such investigations [1]. The ERA process often makes use of a combination of field and laboratory analysis and models to determine the risk to either ecological function or the food-chain transfer of pollutants. The majority of the ecotoxicological tests used in ERA are based on ecological function and use endpoints such as mortality, reproduction and growth. In order to estimate the risk to higher organisms from a contaminated site it is often necessary to use models to predict the pollutant concentrations through the food-chain and relate these to published toxicological endpoints for the species of interest. There are a variety of models available to estimate the food-chain transfer of pollutants (e.g. [2,3]). However, the models are often not species specific, may have been based on aquatic organisms (for example in the case of flying insects), or may only be applicable to a certain group of contaminants [2,3]. This has serious implications for those using such models to estimate risk from contaminated land to ecological receptors. At best it may result in significant gaps in the range of species for which such a risk assessment can be conducted, at worst it may result in an over or under-estimation of the risk leading to either unnecessarily costly remediation or no remediation taking place where it is needed.

*Urtica dioica* L. is prevalent in almost all urban ecosystems and is an early coloniser of contaminated land [4,5]. It is extremely important in urban ecosystems as it provides a habitat for a wide range of invertebrates [5,6]. In addition, it is also relatively simple to cultivate, widely available and fast growing [6], and as such, may be a useful species for ecotoxicological testing. *Acer pseudoplatanus* L. is a tree species that has been introduced to the UK, but is commonly found in urban areas [7]. It is an early coloniser [8] and tolerant of a wide range of site conditions [9]. *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* both have extremely

prevalent species-specific aphids associated with them; *Microlophium carnosum* Buckton and *Drepanosiphum platanoidis* Schrank respectively.

The food-chain transfer of metals to a variety of aphids have been assessed in a number of studies (e.g. [10-12]), although these studies have all concentrated on aphids whose hosts are agricultural plant species. Aphids are an important source of food for a large number of other insects, either indirectly for their honeydew (e.g. ants) or directly (e.g. parasitoids and ladybirds) [7,13]. *M. carnosum* is a large aphid (3.3 to 3.8 mm) commonly found on *U. dioica*, primarily on the underside of the leaves and the stem [14], during May to October [5,6]. *D. platanoidis* is abundant on the underside of leaves of *A. pseudoplatanus*, during April to October, with population peaks in June and October [8]. Used in conjunction with *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus*, *M. carnosum* and *D. platanoidis* have the potential to assess the risk of food-chain transfer of metals in urban ecosystems.

This study aims to assess the transfer of Zn to *M. carnosum* and *D. platanoidis* from *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* grown under hydroponic conditions in order to determine the potential for Zn transfer to aphid predators in urban ecosystems. The study was originally carried out with Cd in addition to Zn, however the small masses of aphids combined with the smaller concentrations of Cd in their tissue meant that Cd concentrations in aphids were often below detection limits and therefore Cd data are not reported here due to the patchy nature of the dataset.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Transfer of Zn into Microlophium carnosum and Drepanosiphum platanoidis*

*U. dioica* cuttings, taken from Alice Holt Forest, Farnham, UK, and *A. pseudoplatanus* (bare rooted 1+1 stock; Prees Heath Forest Nurseries, Shropshire, UK) were planted individually in 1 litre containers filled with perlite. Perlite was used as it has no inherent sorption capacity that could influence Zn availability. Additionally, pores between individual perlite beads ensure an aerobic environment. Pea shingle was placed on the perlite to a depth of 2 cm to minimise evaporation.



110 A fully replicated randomised block experiment with five replicates was set up in a  
 111 glasshouse. Plants were grown under 16 h of artificial light and 8 h darkness per day (PAR =  
 112 0.37 mmol/m/s). The temperature of the glasshouse was regulated to 20 °C ( $\pm 5$  °C).  
 113 Each container was watered with one of five solutions: control ( $\frac{1}{4}$  strength Hoagland's  
 114 solution for *A. pseudoplatanus* and full strength for *U. dioica* [15]) or one of four Zn treatments  
 115 in Hoagland's solution. The Hoagland's formulation provided background micronutrient  
 116 concentrations of 0.02 or 0.08 mg Zn/l and 0.0008 or 0.0032 mg Cu/l for the  $\frac{1}{4}$  strength or full  
 117 strength solutions respectively. Zn amendments were added as  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to provide  
 118 concentrations of 0.02 (control), 5, 10, 20 and 50 mg Zn/l. The solution in each container was  
 119 replaced by mass when necessary.

120 *M. carnosum* were added to the *U. dioica* pots 26 days after planting whilst *D. platanoidis*  
 121 were added to the *A. pseudoplatanus* pots 84 days after planting. Differences in timing were  
 122 due to the availability of sufficient aphid populations in the field. Leaves with aphids on them  
 123 were removed from Alice Holt Forest and placed at the base of each plant. Enough leaves  
 124 were used so that at least 5 aphids were transplanted to each pot. Each pot was then  
 125 covered individually with a fine mesh net suspended from the ceiling, this was tied securely  
 126 around the lip at the top of the pot to prevent the aphids from moving to different plants. At  
 127 each watering, the netting was loosened round the pot and lifted enough to add the  
 128 appropriate solution and re-secured.

129 *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* were harvested 28 and 14 days respectively, after the  
 130 aphids had been added. *D. platanoidis* populations appeared to be declining on the *A.*  
 131 *pseudoplatanus* so these were harvested earlier than *U. dioica* in order to ensure that enough  
 132 aphid mass was available for analysis. Reproduction rates of *D. platanoidis* vary during the  
 133 season, being closely linked to the amino-nitrogen content of the leaves and this decline is  
 134 likely to have been a result of the leaves reaching maturity [8]. The netting was loosened  
 135 from around the pot and the stem cut, the netting was then closed at the bottom and detached  
 136 from the ceiling, the netting along with its contents were then placed in the freezer at -20 °C  
 137 for 2 hours. The plants were then removed from the freezer and the dead aphids collected  
 138 with a fine brush. *A. pseudoplatanus* were split into their stem, shoot and leaf components.  
 139 The above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* and leaf and shoot tissues of *A. pseudoplatanus* were

washed in deionised water to remove the honeydew, weighed and dried at 70 °C for 24 hours and reweighed. The stem tissues of *A. pseudoplatanus* were discarded as the aphids do not feed on this woody material. The aphids were weighed, dried at 50 °C for 24 hours and reweighed. The aphid and plant material samples were then milled and analysed to determine their Zn concentrations (see below).

#### *Determination of phloem Zn concentrations*

In order to understand the different Zn exposures to the aphids a further experiment was set up to determine the phloem Zn concentrations within *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus*. *U. dioica* cuttings and *A. pseudoplatanus* were planted individually in 1 litre containers filled with perlite in the same way as for the aphid exposure experiment. A fully replicated randomised block experiment with five replicates for *U. dioica* and five replicates for *A. pseudoplatanus* was set up in a glasshouse under the same conditions as the aphid exposure experiment. Each container was watered with one of three solutions: control (¼ strength Hoaglands solution for *A. pseudoplatanus* and full strength for *U. dioica* [15]) or one of two Zn treatments in Hoaglands solution. Zn amendments were added as ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O to provide concentrations of 0.02 (control), 5 and 20 mg Zn/l. The solution in each container was replaced by mass when necessary.

*U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* were harvested after the same duration as the aphid experiment in order to ensure that the plants had been exposed to the Zn solutions for the same time. The method used to determine the concentration of Zn in the phloem tissue was based on that of Thornber and Northcote [16] which extracts the water-soluble material within the phloem. The leaf and shoot tissues of *A. pseudoplatanus* were removed from the stem tissue and discarded. The bark was carefully removed from the stem tissue using a grafting knife and the phloem tissue was then removed, again with a grafting knife. The phloem tissue was weighed and then boiled at 100 °C in 200 ml of deionised water for 3 hours. Following boiling, the samples were centrifuged and the solution removed and filtered through a 0.45 µm Whatman filter. It was not possible to separate the phloem tissue of *U. dioica* from the rest of the stem so the entire above-ground biomass was subjected to boiling under the assumption that the water-soluble fraction of the plant material will give an indication of the

phloem concentration. The above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* was removed, weighed and boiled at 100 °C in 300 ml of deionised water for 3 hours. The solutions were then analysed to determine their Zn concentrations (see below).

#### *Determination of Zn concentration*

The Zn solutions used for watering and the phloem extracts were analysed using a Spectro Flame Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES; Spectro Analytical Instruments, West Midlands, UK). The target Zn concentrations in the solutions used for watering of 0.02, 5 and 20 mg Zn/l were found to be 0.017, 4.71 and 17.97 mg Zn/l respectively.

Plant samples were prepared for analysis by dry-ashing at 450 °C for 18 hours and wet digestion [17]. Wet digestion was achieved by incubating each sample for 1 hour at 60 °C in 0.75 ml concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>, followed by a further 14 hour incubation with 2.25 ml concentrated HCl and heating for 2 hours at 110 °C. After cooling, 0.15 ml of 30 % H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added to each sample followed by heating for 30 minutes at 110 °C. To ensure complete oxidation of all organic matter the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment was performed twice. The digested samples were analysed for Zn using the ICP-OES [18].

Aphid samples were digested in 1 ml concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> at 180 °C for 1 hour, after which 1 ml of deionised water was added and the sample further digested at 180 °C to dryness. A further 0.01 ml of concentrated nitric acid was added and the sample digested at 60 °C for 1 hour. The digested samples were analysed for Zn using the ICP-OES [18].

The limit of detection was 0.67 µg/kg for Zn. Bush branches and leaves (NCS DC73349, China National Analysis Centre for Iron and Steel), oriental tobacco leaves (CTA-OTL-1, Commission for Trace Analysis of the Committee for Analytical Chemistry of the Polish Academy of Sciences and Institute of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology, Warsaw, Poland), mussel (CE278, European Commission, Geel, Belgium) and bovine liver (1577b, US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, USA) tissues were used as Certified Reference Materials (CRM) with batches of plant and aphid samples as appropriate. Mean recovery from oriental tobacco leaves was 104.9 and 98.6 % from the bush branches and leaves and oriental tobacco respectively. Mean

recovery from mussel and bovine liver was 92.9 and 93.6 % respectively for the *M. carnosum* samples and 111.8 and 102.6 % respectively for the *D. platanoidis* samples.

#### *Statistical analysis*

The plant and aphid Zn uptake data were subjected to general linear regression analysis to assess the significance of changes in plant and aphid concentrations with increasing Zn concentration in hydroponic solutions and plant material respectively, using Genstat version 8.1 [19]. Mean values are reported with  $\pm$  standard errors throughout.

Linear and exponential models of Zn uptake into each of the plant and aphid tissue types compared to that of the solution concentration and, in the case of aphids, the leaf concentrations were fitted using Genstat version 8.1 [19]. A comparison of the residual sum of squares of alternative models relative to the smallest residual mean square was used to determine the most appropriate model. This comparison used for nested models and is referred to an F-distribution with 1, n degrees of freedom where n is the residual degrees of freedom from the exponential model.

## **RESULTS**

Zn concentration in solution had a significant affect on the Zn uptake into the above ground tissue ( $F_{1,13}=533.63$ ;  $p<0.001$ ) of *U. dioica*; no plants survived in the 42 mg Zn/l solution treatment (Figure 1). Zn concentration in both solution and nettle tissue had a significant affect on the Zn concentration in *M. carnosum* ( $F_{1,13}=107.95$ ;  $p<0.001$  and  $F_{1,13}=77.38$ ;  $p<0.001$  respectively; Figure 1). The concentration of Zn in the phloem extracts from *U. dioica* increased significantly with increasing Zn concentration in solution ( $F_{1,13}=138.89$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  $r^2=0.908$ ).

Zn concentration in solution did not have a significant effect on the Zn uptake into either the leaf or shoot tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus*. This is because the Zn concentrations in the tissues reached a plateau between the 9 and 18 mg Zn/l solutions; the exponential model was, however, significant for both leaf ( $F_{2,22}=3.57$ ;  $p=0.046$ ) and shoot ( $F_{2,22}=5.43$ ;  $p=0.012$ ) tissue (Figure 1).

There was no significant effect of the concentration of Zn in solution or in the leaf or shoot tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus* on the concentration in *D. platanoidis* using either the linear or exponential models (Figure 1). Similarly, the concentration of Zn in the phloem extract was not significantly related to the concentration of Zn in solution.

The concentration of Zn in the above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* were approximately 13 times that in the *A. pseudoplatanus* as a result of exposure to the 18 mg Zn/l solution;  $2153 \pm 68.7$  mg/kg compared with  $163 \pm 20.6$  mg/kg. The phloem extract concentrations at this 18 mg Zn/l exposure were  $48.2 \pm 2.4$  mg/kg in *U. dioica* and  $6.1 \pm 1.2$  mg/kg in *A. pseudoplatanus* (Figure 2). Despite this, the Zn concentration in *M. carnosum* was less than a third of that in *D. platanoidis*;  $131.5 \pm 11.0$  mg/kg compared with  $406 \pm 21.2$  mg/kg. Phloem concentrations of both species were lower than those in above-ground tissue in *U. dioica* or in the leaf and shoot tissues in *A. pseudoplatanus*, this difference increased with increasing Zn concentrations; from 3 up to 17 times lower and 11 up to 25 times lower in *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* respectively.

## DISCUSSION

Zn concentrations in the above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* increased with Zn exposure, reaching a mean of approximately 2100 mg/kg for the 18 mg Zn/L solution. In *A. pseudoplatanus* tissue concentration increased up to the 9 mg Zn/l solution and then remained constant at around 160 mg/kg despite the increasing Zn concentration in solution. Zn concentrations in the above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* have been reported to range between 42 and 52 mg/kg in uncontaminated soils [20]. Leaf concentrations of between 23 and 532 (mean 113 mg/kg) have been reported in *U. dioica* growing on dredged sediments with a Zn concentration of between 149 and 1817 (mean 54 mg/kg) [21]. Zn concentrations in *U. dioica* around the Avonmouth smelter have been found to be as high as 3000 mg/kg, although this is likely to have occurred from atmospheric deposition as well as soil uptake [22]. The substantial quantities of Zn that nettles appear to be capable of accumulating make this species an important pathway for Zn in the food-chain. Mertens et al. [23] found Zn concentrations with a mean of 74 mg/kg in *A. pseudoplatanus* grown on dredged sediments with a Zn concentration of 359 mg/kg. The normal range of Zn in plant tissue has been

254 reported to be 27-150 mg/kg with an upper toxic limit of 100-500 mg/kg [24], which suggests  
 255 that the concentrations reported here for *A. pseudoplatanus* are unlikely to cause a toxic  
 256 effect.

257 The Zn concentrations in the tissue of *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* showed large  
 258 differences; at the lowest Zn solution concentration the tissue concentration of *A.*  
 259 *pseudoplatanus* is greater than that of *U. dioica*, but at higher concentrations the reverse is  
 260 true, increasing from a 3 fold to a 13 fold difference at the highest solution concentration. The  
 261 relationships between solution and tissue concentration between the species were also  
 262 different; *U. dioica* having a steep linear relationship whilst for *A. pseudoplatanus* the  
 263 relationship was exponential with the Zn tissue concentrations reaching a plateau at around  
 264 160 mg/kg. This suggests different responses to Zn between the two species. *U. dioica* is  
 265 unable to regulate Zn and continues to accumulate this metal until a toxic concentration is  
 266 reached and the plant can no longer survive, in the present experiment this must have  
 267 occurred to plants grown in the 42 mg Zn / L solution. In contrast *A. psuedoplatanus* is able to  
 268 regulate the Zn concentration in its above-ground tissue and therefore survive in media  
 269 containing higher concentrations of Zn.

270 Previous studies investigating the transfer of metals into aphids have used wheat grown in  
 271 sewage sludge amended soils. In these studies the Zn concentrations in the plant tissue  
 272 were substantially lower (<150 mg/kg) [11,12,25-27] than those found in *U. dioica* in the  
 273 current study and more comparable to those in *A. pseudoplatanus*. Despite this, the  
 274 concentrations of Zn in *M. carnosum* reported in the current study are similar to those found in  
 275 these previous studies, which used different aphid species [11,12,25-27], whereas the  
 276 concentrations in *D. platanoidis* were generally two to three times greater, even at the lowest  
 277 Zn solution concentration. All of these studies found that Zn was bioaccumulated in the  
 278 aphids *Rhopalosiphum padi* and *Sitobian avenae* feeding on wheat. In our study, from the  
 279 total plant concentrations it appeared that *M. carnosum* was not accumulating Zn as the  
 280 *U. dioica* bulk tissue concentration from the 18 mg Zn/l solution was around 2100 mg/kg and  
 281 the aphid concentration was 131 mg/kg. However, the analysis of the phloem tissue of the  
 282 nettle tissue revealed that *M. carnosum* were accumulating Zn as this concentration was

283 around 50 mg/kg. Zn concentrations in *D. platanoidis* were greater, at around 375 mg/kg,  
 284 than both the total plant and the phloem concentrations of 160 and 6.2 mg/kg respectively.

285 It has been reported that Zn is concentrated in the stem tissue as well as the roots [28] and is  
 286 readily transported in the phloem of *A. pseudoplatanus* [29] and wheat [28,30]. Aphids feed  
 287 directly on the phloem sap [8] and are therefore exposed to the Zn within this solution. The  
 288 chemical form that Zn takes within the phloem is not well understood [31], although is likely to  
 289 be in a soluble form, bound to chelators, amino acids and/or organic acids, it is also unclear  
 290 whether the Zn is transported apoplastically or symplastically [31]. Studies on barley have  
 291 shown that, whilst most of the Zn in the roots is soluble, that in the leaves is primarily located  
 292 in the mesophyll cells and, to a lesser extent the epidermal cells; where it is present primarily  
 293 in the cytoplasm, followed by the chloroplasts. The Zn in the apoplastic solution is mainly (97  
 294 %) bound to cell walls [31]. The ability of *A. pseudoplatanus* to regulate Zn in its above-  
 295 ground biomass, and because the transfer of Zn to the phloem is regulated by the  
 296 requirements of the plant, may explain why, in this species, the concentrations in the phloem  
 297 are similar regardless of the exposure to the plant or plant tissue concentrations. Water-  
 298 soluble concentrations of Zn in *U. dioica* are much lower than the total plant concentrations,  
 299 suggesting that the Zn within this species is also bound within the plant tissue and not readily  
 300 transported in the phloem. However, the water-soluble concentrations increase with  
 301 increasing Zn concentration in the watering solution and the plant tissue, further suggesting  
 302 that *U. dioica* is not able to regulate Zn transport within the plant. This results in increased  
 303 exposure to aphids as the concentration of Zn in the plant tissue increases.

304 Although it appears that both aphid species bioaccumulated Zn, the concentrations in *M.*  
 305 *carnosum* were smaller than those for *D. platanoidis* despite an increased level of exposure.  
 306 This may be because the duration of exposure of *D. platanoidis* was double that for *M.*  
 307 *carnosum*. Alternatively, *M. carnosum* may be able to regulate Zn; Crawford et al. [10] found  
 308 that *Aphis fabae* on broad beans (*Vicia faba*) were able to regulate Cu by excretion in  
 309 honeydew. Unfortunately, it proved impossible to obtain sufficient quantities of honey dew for  
 310 analysis in this study. The Zn concentrations in *M. carnosum* were comparable with those  
 311 found in other studies, whereas those in *D. platanoidis* were elevated. This, coupled with the  
 312 fact that the concentrations in *D. platanoidis* were elevated even when *A. pseudoplatanus*

was watered with the control solution suggest that this species may simply have naturally greater Zn concentrations compared with other aphid species regardless of the concentration within the plant.

The greater Zn concentrations in *D. platanoidis* has important implications, both for the estimation of risk to higher organisms and the modelling of food-chain transfer, particularly given that the Zn tissue concentrations in *A. pseudoplatanus* were substantially lower than those in *U. dioica*. When the ladybird *Coccinella septempunctata*, lacewing *Chrysoperla carnea* and carabid beetle *Bembidion lampros* were fed aphids with Zn concentrations ranging between 163-249, 104-188 and 60-116 mg/kg respectively their corresponding tissue concentrations were between 184-217, 105-249 and 99-112 respectively [12,26,27]. This suggests that, although only in the lacewing was Zn accumulated, the tissue concentrations of the predators of aphids are likely to reflect the tissue concentrations of their prey. Therefore species feeding on *D. platanoidis* may be exposed to higher concentrations of Zn in their diet than those feeding on other species of aphid. This demonstrates the importance of species specificity in modelling food-chain transfer in terrestrial ecosystems.

Acknowledgements – The authors wish to thank Sylvia Cowdry for assisting with experimental work and Alberto Morales from the Environmental and Human Science Division Laboratory, Forest Research for sample analysis. This work was funded by EPSRC as part of the Pollutants in the Urban Environment (PUrE) research consortium (Grant Number EP/C532651/2).

## REFERENCES

### Literature Cited

1. Bryns G, Crane M. Assessing risks to ecosystems from land contamination. Environment Agency, Bristol.  
Ref Type: Report
2. USEPA. Multimedia, multipathway and multireceptor risk assessment (3MRA) modeling system. Volume II: Site-based, regional and national data. USEPA, Washington DC.  
Ref Type: Report
3. USEPA. TRIM:FaTE Technical Support Document. Volume II: Description of chemical transport and transformation algorithms. USEPA, North Carolina.  
Ref Type: Report



- 346 4. Edwards SC, MacLeod CL, Lester JN. 1998. The bioavailability of copper and mercury  
347 to the common nettle (*Urtica dioica*) and the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* from  
348 contaminated dredge spoil. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 102:75-90.  
349 Ref Type: Journal
- 350 5. Barta M, Cagán L. 2003. Entomophthoralean fungi associated with the common nettle  
351 aphid (*Microlophium carnosum* Buckton) and the potential role of nettle patches as  
352 reservoirs for the pathogens in landscape. *Journal of Pest Science* 76:6-13.  
353 Ref Type: Journal
- 354 6. Davis BNK. 1991. *Insects on nettles*. The Richmond Publishing Co. Ltd., Slough.  
355 Ref Type: Book, Whole
- 356 7. Gilbert OL. 1991. *The Ecology of Urban Habitats*. Chapman and Hall, London.  
357 Ref Type: Book, Whole
- 358 8. Dixon T. 2005. *Insect Herbivore-Host Dynamics: Tree dwelling aphids*. Cambridge  
359 University Press, Cambridge.  
360 Ref Type: Book, Whole
- 361 9. Moffat, A. J. and McNeill, J. D. Reclaiming disturbed land for forestry. [110]. 1994.  
362 Edinburgh, HMSO. Forestry Commission Bulletin.  
363 Ref Type: Serial (Book, Monograph)
- 364 10. Crawford LA, Hodkinson ID, Lepp NW. 1995. The effects of elevated host-plant  
365 cadmium and copper on the performance of the aphid *Aphis fabae* (Homoptera:  
366 Aphididae). *Journal of Applied Ecology* 32:528-535.  
367 Ref Type: Journal
- 368 11. Merrington G, Winder L, Green I. 1997. The uptake of cadmium and zinc by the bird-  
369 cherry oat aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Homoptera: Aphididae) feeding on wheat grown  
370 on sewage sludge amended agricultural soil. *Environmental Pollution* 96:111-114.  
371 Ref Type: Journal
- 372 12. Green I, Merrington G, Tibbet M. 2003. Transfer of cadmium and zinc from sewage  
373 sludge amended soil through a plant-aphid system to newly emerged adult ladybirds  
374 (*Coccinella septempunctata*). *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 99:171-178.  
375 Ref Type: Journal
- 376 13. Rotheray GE. 1989. *Aphid predators*. The Richmond Publishing Co. Ltd., Slough.  
377 Ref Type: Book, Whole
- 378 14. Kean JM, Müller CB. 2004. Can competition explain local rarity of a nettle aphid?  
379 *Ecological Entomology* 29:706-710.  
380 Ref Type: Journal
- 381 15. Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil.  
382 California Agricultural Experiment Station, California.  
383 Ref Type: Report
- 384 16. Thornber JP, Northcote DH. 1961. Changes in the chemical composition of a cambial  
385 cell during its differentiation into xylem and phloem tissue in trees. *Biochemical Journal*  
386 81:449-455.  
387 Ref Type: Journal
- 388 17. Chapman HD. 1967. Plant analysis values suggestive nutrient status of selected crops.  
389 *Soil Testing and Plant Analysis: Plant Analysis Part II*, Soil Science Society of America,  
390 Wisconsin.  
391 Ref Type: Book Chapter


- 392 18. Kilbride C, Poole J, Hutchings TR. 2006. A comparison of Cu, Pb, As, Cd, Zn, Fe, Ni  
393 and Mn determined by acid extraction/ICP-OES and ex situ field portable X-ray  
394 fluorescence analysis. *Environmental Pollution* 143:16-23.  
395 Ref Type: Journal
- 396 19. Genstat. 2005. *The Guide to GenStat Release 8.1 Part 2: Statistics*. VSN International,  
397 Oxford.  
398 Ref Type: Book, Whole
- 399 20. Hobbelen PHF, Koolhaas JE, van Gestel CAM. 2004. Risk assessment of heavy metal  
400 pollution for detritivores in floodplain soils in the Biesbosch, the Netherlands, taking  
401 bioavailability into account. *Environmental Pollution* 129:409-419.  
402 Ref Type: Journal
- 403 21. Tack FM, Verloo MG. 1996. Metal contents in stinging nettle (*Urtica dioica* L.) as  
404 affected by soil characteristics. *The Science of the Total Environment* 192:31-39.  
405 Ref Type: Journal
- 406 22. Jones DT. 1991. Biological monitoring of metal pollution in terrestrial ecosystems.  
407 University of Reading.  
408 Ref Type: Thesis/Dissertation
- 409 23. Mertens J, Vervaeke P, De Schrijver A, Luyssaert S. 2004. Metal uptake by young trees  
410 from dredged brackish sediment: limitations and possibilities for phytoextraction and  
411 phytostabilisation. *Science of the Total Environment* 326:209-215.  
412 Ref Type: Journal
- 413 24. Kabata-Pendias A, Pendias H. 2001. Elements of Group II. In Kabata-Pendias A,  
414 Pendias H, eds, *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*, 3rd Edition ed, CRC Press Ltd.,  
415 Boca Raton, Florida, pp 123-164.  
416 Ref Type: Book Chapter
- 417 25. Merrington G, Winder L, Green I. 1997. The bioavailability of Cd and Zn from soils  
418 amended with sewage sludge to winter wheat and subsequently to the grain aphid  
419 *Sitobion avenae*. *The Science of the Total Environment* 205:245-254.  
420 Ref Type: Journal
- 421 26. Winder L, Merrington G, Green I. 1999. The tri-trophic transfer of Zn from the  
422 agricultural use of sewage sludge. *The Science of the Total Environment* 229:73-81.  
423 Ref Type: Journal
- 424 27. Green ID, Jeffries C, Diaz A, Tibbett M. 2006. Contrasting behaviour of cadmium and  
425 zinc in a soil-plant-arthropod system. *Chemosphere* 64:1115-1121.  
426 Ref Type: Journal
- 427 28. Haslett BS, Reid RJ, Rengel Z. 2001. Zinc mobility in wheat: Uptake and distribution of  
428 zinc applied to leaves or roots. *Annals of Botany* 87:379-386.  
429 Ref Type: Journal
- 430 29. Dollard GJ, Lepp NW. 1980. Differential mobility of lead and zinc in phloem tissue of  
431 sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus* L.). *Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenphysiologie* 97:409-415.  
432 Ref Type: Journal
- 433 30. Riesen O, Feller U. 2005. Redistribution of nickel, cobalt, manganese, zinc and  
434 cadmium via the phloem in young and maturing wheat. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*  
435 28:421-430.  
436 Ref Type: Journal

- 437 31. Grusak MA, Pearson JN, Marentes E. 2007. The physiology of micronutrient  
438 homeostasis in field crops. *Field Crops Research* 60:41-56.  
439 Ref Type: Journal


440

441


a)




b)



c)



**Figure 1: Zn concentration in a) the above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* (n=15) and the leaf tissue *A. pseudoplatanus* (n=25) exposed for 54 and 98 days respectively and b) *M. carnosum* (n=15) and *D. platinoidis* (n=23) exposed for 28 and 14 days respectively compared to the Zn concentration in Hoagland's solution in which the *U. dioica* and *A. pseudoplatanus* were grown and c) *M. carnosum* (n=15) and *D. platinoidis* (n=23) Zn concentrations compared with the Zn concentration in the above-ground tissue of *U. dioica* and the leaf tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus*. (Where [ZnNettle], [ZnLeaf], [ZnAphid], [ZnSoln] is the concentration of Zn in the tissue of *U. dioica* (mg/kg dry weight), the leaf tissue of *A. pseudoplatanus* (mg/kg dry weight), *M. carnosum* (mg/kg dry weight) and the watering solution (mg Zn/l) respectively).**



**Figure 2: Zn concentration in the phloem tissue of *U. dioica* (n=15; mg/kg wet weight) and *A. pseudoplatanus* (n=15; mg/kg wet weight) exposed for 54 and 98 days respectively to Zn in Hoagland's solution.**